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Summary of necessary legal reform to achieve full prohibition

Prohibition is still to be achieved in the home, alternative care settings, day care and possibly schools and penal institutions.

The “right of correction” of children has reportedly been removed from the Civil Code (information unconfirmed). But the near universal acceptance of a certain degree of violence in childrearing necessitates clarity in law that no degree of corporal punishment is acceptable or lawful. All legal defences should be repealed and prohibition of all corporal punishment should be enacted in relation to parents and all those with parental authority.

Alternative care settings – Prohibition should be enacted in legislation applicable to all alternative care settings (foster care, institutions, places of safety, emergency care, etc).

Day care – Corporal punishment should be prohibited in all early childhood care (nurseries, crèches, kindergartens, preschools, family centres, etc) and all day care for older children (day centres, after-school childcare, childminding, etc).

Schools – Legislation should prohibit corporal punishment in all education settings, public and private.

Penal institutions – Prohibition should be enacted of corporal punishment as a disciplinary measure in all institutions accommodating children in conflict with the law.
Current legality of corporal punishment

Home

Corporal punishment is lawful in the home. According to the Government, the provision in the Civil Code 1966 for parents to “moderately correct their child in the latter’s mistakes” was repealed.\(^1\) Article 1884 of the 2006 edition of the Civil Code still provided for this (unofficial translation): “(1) Both parents have the power to moderately correct their minor children in their failures; (2) If the child is disobedient, has had bad behaviour or is undisciplined, both parents can require the Court to apply the necessary measures, established by special legislation.” We are seeking to ascertain if this provision has been repealed from more recent editions of the Code.

There is no explicit prohibition of corporal punishment and provisions against violence and abuse in the Penal Code 1993 are not interpreted as prohibiting corporal punishment of children. The Law Against Domestic Violence 2014 defines domestic violence as all actions and omissions of a criminal nature that, repeatedly or not, cause suffering/pain; it defines physical violence as all acts or omissions that cause injury to the victim’s physical integrity. The Law does not prohibit all corporal punishment.\(^2\)

There is an ongoing process of laws being harmonised with the UN Convention on the Rights of the Child and the African Charter on the Rights and Welfare of the Child. The Government has stated its intention to submit a bill to parliament prohibiting corporal punishment,\(^3\) and drafting of a comprehensive Code on the Protection of Children is planned. The Government had initially confirmed its commitment to prohibiting corporal punishment in all settings in clearly accepting the recommendation to do so made during the Universal Periodic Review of Guinea-Bissau in 2015.\(^4\) The Government reported in 2019 that work was still ongoing on drafting the Code for the Protection of Children, as well as a new National Policy for the Protection of Children, but did not mention whether prohibition of all corporal punishment would be included in the new Code.\(^5\)

The Global Initiative no longer considers Guinea-Bissau committed to prohibiting all corporal punishment of children without delay. Despite indicating its intention to draft prohibiting legislation in 2013, the Government has not taken any clear action since then to indicate that it is still a priority.

Alternative care settings

There is no explicit prohibition of corporal punishment in alternative care settings: it is lawful as for parents.
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Day care
There is no explicit prohibition of corporal punishment in early childhood care and in day care for older children.

Schools
In 2002, the Government informed the Committee on the Rights of the Child that corporal punishment is prohibited in schools but gave no details of legislation.6 The Government stated in 2019 that corporal punishment was prohibited in public and private schools under the “modern pedagogical guidelines of the Ministry of Education”.7 We have been unable to verify these assertions. The Law on the Education System 2010 is silent on the issue.

Penal institutions
Corporal punishment is reportedly prohibited as a disciplinary measure in penal institutions, but we have been unable to confirm this.

Sentence for crime
Corporal punishment is unlawful as a sentence for crime. The Constitution prohibits torture and other cruel, inhuman and degrading punishment (art. 37), and there is no provision for judicial punishment in the Penal Code 1993.

Universal Periodic Review of Guinea-Bissau’s human rights record
Guinea-Bissau was examined in the first cycle of the Universal Periodic Review in 2010 (session 8). No recommendations were made concerning corporal punishment of children. However, the following recommendations were made and were accepted by the Government:8

“Reaffirm its commitments to international human rights instruments through their transposition or integration into its national legislation, and intensify its efforts to submit its overdue reports to the treaty bodies (Spain);

“Amend and/or adopt and implement legislation providing for the full application of the juvenile justice infrastructure and system, in accordance with the provisions of CRC and other international standards (Germany);

“Ensure that the provisions of all international human rights treaties, once ratified, are incorporated into domestic law (Netherlands);

“Consider reviewing strategies aimed at the protection of children, with a view to developing a comprehensive plan for the care of children, and to aligning them with the provisions of CRC
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and all applicable international human rights instruments to which Guinea-Bissau is party (South Africa);

“Adopt and duly implement all measures necessary to prevent torture and other inhuman or degrading treatment, and ensure due accountability for perpetrators of such human rights violations (Slovakia)”

The second cycle review took place in 2015 (session 21). During the review, the following recommendation was made.9

“Explicitly prohibit corporal punishment of children in all settings (Slovenia)”

The Government accepted the recommendation.10

**Recommendations by human rights treaty bodies**

*Committee on the Rights of the Child*

(8 July 2013, CRC/C/GNB/CO/2-4, Concluding observations on second-fourth report, paras. 36, 37, 62 and 63)

“The Committee notes that, although corporal punishment is prohibited in schools, it remains lawful in the home and alternative care settings. The Committee regrets that the State party report provides limited information on corporal punishment.

“Taking due note of the Committee’s general comment No. 8 (2006) on the right of the child to protection from corporal punishment and other cruel or degrading forms of punishment, the Committee recommends that the State party:

a) consider enacting legislation to explicitly prohibit corporal punishment of children in all settings, including within the family and in alternative care settings;

b) ensure that laws prohibiting corporal punishment are effectively implemented and that legal proceedings are systematically initiated against those responsible for violence against children; and

c) introduce public education, awareness-raising and social mobilization campaigns on the harmful effects of corporal punishment with a view to changing the general attitude towards this practice and promote positive, non-violent, participatory forms of child-rearing and education as alternative forms of discipline.

“The Committee takes note of the progress in access to education with a net attendance ratio (NAR) that increased by 26 percentage points between 2002 and 2010. Nevertheless, the Committee is concerned that: ...

e) there are cases of corporal punishment and bullying in schools....

“The Committee recommends that the State party: ...

f) initiate regulations banning all forms of violence in schools, and establish a complaints mechanism to report incidents of corporal punishment as well as bullying, peer violence and harassment, and introduce non-violent forms of discipline as an alternative to corporal punishment....”

---
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Committee on the Rights of the Child

(13 June 2002, CRC/C/15/Add.177, Concluding observations on initial report, paras. 4, 30 and 31)

“The Committee also welcomes: ... the prohibition, under law, of corporal punishment in the family and schools and other contexts.

“The Committee is concerned that:

b) ... corporal punishment is widely practiced in the family.

“The Committee recommends that the State party:

c) ... combat the practice of corporal punishment of children in the family, including through the use of information campaigns on the harm it can cause and on the importance of alternative measures of discipline.”

Prevalence/attitudinal research in the last ten years

Research conducted in 2014 as part of UNICEF’s Multiple Indicator Cluster Surveys (MICS) programme, found on average 82% of 1-14 year-old children experienced some form of violent discipline (psychological aggression and/or physical punishment) in the month prior to the survey. On average 66% of children experienced psychological aggression, 72% physical punishment and 18% severe physical punishment (hit or slapped on the face, head or ears, or hit repeatedly). Physical punishment of children was less common in the south province (55%) than the rest of the country (74%), and less common for children aged 1-2 (60%) than those aged 3-9 (76%) or 10-14 (70%). Only 12% of children experienced only non-violent forms of discipline.