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Child population
1,774,000 (UNICEF, 2015)

Summary of necessary legal reform to achieve full prohibition

Prohibition is still to be achieved in the home, alternative care settings, day care, schools and penal institutions.

There appears to be no confirmation in law of a “right” of parents to discipline/punish children but corporal punishment is widely used and there is no explicit prohibition in law of all corporal punishment. The near universal acceptance of corporal punishment in childrearing necessitates clarity in law that all such punishment is unlawful, however light and whoever the perpetrator.

Alternative care settings – Legislation should be enacted to prohibit corporal punishment in all alternative care settings (foster care, institutions, places of safety, emergency care, etc).

Day care – Corporal punishment should be prohibited in all early childhood care (nurseries, créches, kindergartens, preschools, family centres, etc) and all day care for older children (day centres, after-school childcare, childminding, etc).

Schools – Legislation should prohibit corporal punishment in all education settings, public and private.

Penal institutions – The law should prohibit corporal punishment as a disciplinary measure in all institutions accommodating children in conflict with the law.

Detailed country report

Current legality of corporal punishment

Home
Corporal punishment is lawful in the home. Article 9 of the Law on the Rights of the Child 1993 (amended 2013) states in article 9: “Every child has the right to protect his own personality from any type of exploitation and violence. The state provides inviolability of child’s personality, realizes its protection from all types of exploitation including sexual, from physical and (or) psychical violence, cruel or offensive treatment, disparage, including such treatment from parents (guardians) and relatives, from engaging into criminal activity, junction to alcoholic drinks, consumption of drugs, psychotropic substances, analogues thereof, toxic intoxicating substances, compulsion for the prostitution, gambling and making actions connected with making materials or goods of pornographic character, and also from engaging of a child in activities that his/her physical, mental or moral development. The persons, who get to know about the facts of cruel treatment, physical and (or) psychological violence toward a child, which pose a threat for child’s life, health and
development, shall immediately report competent state body.”

The Code on Marriage and the Family 1999 states in article 65 that the family is responsible for the education, maintenance and protection of children and that the family has “the pre-emptive right and duty to determine the forms, means and methods of childrearing”; articles 66-1, 67 and 80 protect the child from cruel treatment. The Code confirms the right of children “to live in peace, security and dignity” (art. 184) and “to defend their person, honour and dignity against all forms of exploitation and violence” (art. 189). These provisions, as well as the protections for children in the Criminal Code 1999, the Code on Administrative Offences 2003, the Crime Prevention (Principles) Act 2014 and the Constitution 1994 are not interpreted as prohibiting all corporal punishment in childrearing.

Law reform has strengthened children’s protection from violence but has not prohibited all corporal punishment. According to the national report to the Universal Periodic Review in 2015, the Code of Administrative Offences has been amended by the addition of administrative penalties for violence against family members to supplement the provisions under criminal law. In 2013, administrative liability was introduced to the Code for battery that does not cause bodily injury and deliberate infliction of pain or physical or mental suffering.¹

A draft domestic violence law is under consideration.² We are not aware of proposals to prohibit all corporal punishment in this context.

**Alternative care settings**

There is no explicit prohibition of corporal punishment in alternative care settings. Article 30 of the Law on the Rights of the Child 1993 punished “teachers and other staff of children’s homes, boarding schools and other boarding institutions who commit anti-pedagogical or immoral acts against pupils”, but this article was repealed when the Act was amended in 2008. Under the Code on Marriage and Family 1999, orphans and children left without parental care have the right to “respect for their human dignity, protection of rights and legitimate interests” (art. 177), to “live in peace, security and dignity” (art. 184) and to “defend their person, honour and dignity against all forms of exploitation and violence” (art. 189). But the Code does not explicitly prohibit corporal punishment.

**Day care**

There is no explicit prohibition of corporal punishment in all early childhood care and in day care for older children.

**Schools**

Corporal punishment is unlawful, though it is not explicitly prohibited. Article 27 of the Law on the Rights of the Child 1993 (amended 2013) states: “Every child has the right on protection his honor and dignity protected by the Constitution of the Republic of Belarus. Discipline and order in educational establishments are kept by methods based on mutual respect and justice and excluding humiliation.”

---

¹ 9 February 2015, A/HRC/WG.6/22/BLR/1, National report to the UPR, paras. 18 and 129
There is no authorisation for corporal punishment in the Code on Education 2011: students are entitled to “protection of life and health in the educational process” (arts. 31(1.7) and 31(4.6)); teaching staff have the right to “choose pedagogically sound forms and methods of training and education” (arts. 52 and 89(1.4)) and must “respect the honour and dignity of students” (art. 53).

Penal institutions

Corporal punishment is considered unlawful as a disciplinary measure in penal institutions under article 9 of the Law on the Rights of the Child 1993 (see under “Home”), but it is not explicitly prohibited. Article 36 of the Law on the Rights of the Child states that children in special educational or special health care institutions, which includes children in institutions for young offenders, have the right to humane treatment. Similarly, the Law on Prevention of Neglect and Offences of Minors 2003 states that juveniles in detention have the right to be treated “humanely, without degrading treatment” (art. 8). The Criminal and Executive Code 2000 does not include corporal punishment among permitted disciplinary measures in penal institutions (arts. 55, 61, 129, 130 and 185), though it does provide for the use of physical force, including for “the prevention and suppression of offences” and with implements including “rubber sticks” (arts. 78 and 79).

Sentence for crime

Corporal punishment is unlawful as a sentence for crime. It is not among lawful sanctions in the Criminal Code 1999 and Criminal Procedure Code 1999.

Universal Periodic Review of Belarus’ human rights record

Belarus was examined in the first cycle of the Universal Periodic Review in 2010 (session 8). The following recommendation was made:3

“Forbid corporal punishment of children and take order in that matter (Brazil)”

The Government accepted the recommendation, but stated that it was already implemented, that “there are specific provisions enshrined in Belarusian legislation prohibiting child abuse, including the corporal punishment of children” and that “the Criminal Code and the Administrative Offences Code establish criminal and administrative liability, respectively, for causing grave, moderate or minor bodily harm, torture and other forms of violence”.4 As noted above, according to our research there is no explicit prohibition of corporal punishment in the Law on the Rights of the Child or other legislation.

Examination in the second cycle took place in 2015 (session 22). The Government made no reference to corporal punishment in its national report.5 No recommendations were made specifically on corporal punishment during the review but the Government accepted a number of recommendations to combat violence against children.6

---

3 21 June 2010, A/HRC/15/16, Report of the working group, para. 98(24)
4 15 September 2010, A/HRC/15/16/Add.1, Report of the working group: Addendum, paras. 65, 66 and 67
5 9 February 2015, A/HRC/WG.6/22/BLR/1, National report to the UPR
6 13 July 2015, A/HRC/30/3, Report of the working group, paras. 127(54), 127(62) and 127(65)
Recommendations by human rights treaty bodies

Committee on the Rights of the Child
(8 April 2011, CRC/C/BLR/CO/3-4, Concluding observations on third/fourth report, paras. 39 and 40)

“While noting that corporal punishment is unlawful as a sentence for a crime, and that it has been prohibited in the regulations of education establishments, the Committee, nevertheless, remains concerned that corporal punishment is lawful in the home, not explicitly prohibited in institutions, including in the penal system and alternative care settings, and is widely accepted in society.

“The Committee reiterates its recommendation (CRC/C/15/Add.180, para. 40 (d)) that the State party prohibit all forms of corporal punishment at home, in schools and other institutions and develop measures to raise awareness on the harmful effects of corporal punishment, and promote alternative forms of discipline in families, in institutions and the penal system, to be administered in a manner consistent with the child’s dignity and in conformity with the Convention. In this regard, the Committee draws the State party’s attention to its general comment No. 8 (2007) on the right of the child to protection from corporal punishment and other cruel or degrading forms of punishment.”

Committee on the Rights of the Child
(13 June 2002, CRC/C/15/Add.180, Concluding observations on second report, paras. 39 and 40)

“The Committee expresses its concern about the insufficient information and awareness of the ill-treatment and abuse of children in the home, in schools and in other institutions.

“In light of article 19 of the Convention and in line with its previous recommendation (ibid., para. 40), the Committee recommends that the State party: ...

d) prohibit all forms of corporal punishment at home, in schools and other institutions and develop measures to raise awareness on the harmful effects of corporal punishment, and promote alternative forms of discipline in families to be administered in a manner consistent with the child’s dignity and in conformity with the Convention....”

Prevalence/attitudinal research in the last ten years

A survey conducted in 2012 found that two-thirds (64.5%) of children age 2-14 years were subjected to at least one form of psychological aggression or physical punishment from their parents or other adults in the household in the month preceding the survey. The majority of children experienced psychological aggression (58.7%) while a third (34.2%) were subjected to physical punishment. In contrast, only 7.9% of respondents believed children should be physically punished, reflecting contradictory opinions and practices regarding child “discipline”. Physical punishment is more common among boys than girls (37.2% compared to 31.4%).
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